## **REMARKS**

Applicant has considered the outstanding official action. It is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for examination as set forth below.

Initially, applicant notes that the "Lock-Loop" patent application of applicant as referred to in the captioned application is WO 2004/008268 entitled "Authorisation, Regulation, Characterisation, Localisation, Locking And Theft Security System (Here Also Referred To As Lock-Loop DSS)," which corresponds to U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0149741 Al. Applicant is submitting herewith PTO Form SB/08A to make US 2005/0149741 Al formally of record.

The Examiner requires new corrected drawings in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(d). Applicant is submitting replacement drawings having clear lines and wording, including wherein German words have been replaced with corresponding English words. No new matter has been added. Acceptance of the drawings is respectfully requested.

The disclosure is objected to under 37 C.F.R. §

1.71, as being, among other things, incomprehensible as to

preclude a reasonable search of the prior art by the

Examiner and replete with various grammatical errors and idiomatic errors.

Applicant has amended the specification to clarify the description and to correct the various grammatical errors and other errors as to form. Accordingly, applicant is submitting herewith a substitute specification showing the changes and a clean version of the substitute specification. As shown in the substitute specification showing the changes (Marked-Up Version), applicant has amended each paragraph of the specification as originally filed by striking or double bracketing the deleted words, phrases or punctuation and underlining the inserted words, phrases or punctuation. Where using this amendment method would have made the paragraph difficult to read, applicant has deleted the entire paragraph and rewritten the paragraph immediately thereafter with the appropriate changes. Applicant has also added paragraph numbers to each paragraph in the substitute specification.

Each paragraph in the substitute specification corresponds directly and in the same order to the paragraphs in the originally filed specification. However, applicant has divided original paragraph number 147 into multiple paragraphs in the substitute specification, namely,

paragraphs [000176]-[000179]. Additionally, paragraphs [000180]-[000191] in the substitute specification have been inserted. These paragraphs correspond to claims 1-12, respectfully, in the application as originally filed.

Applicant has also deleted the Abstract which was before the claims section and has inserted a revised

Abstract after the claims section.

The Examiner states that claims 1-12, among other things, recite a wide range of features or properties of the AIORK software products, methods and devices, but the claims lack the clarity in limitations or steps because they attempt to define the method and device in terms of the results which are to be achieved. Accordingly, applicant has canceled original claims 1-12 and added new claims 13-31. Accordingly, the claims are not set out in the substitute specification, but rather in the present amendment.

The present amendment to the claims is to better conform the claims to U.S. patent practice and to clarify what applicant is claiming as his invention. The claim amendments are not intended to narrow the substance of the claims or invention, but rather are simply to address matters of form.

6779/PCT/USSN 10/593,323 Group Art Unit 2618

No new matter has been added.

Reconsideration and allowance of the claims of the application are respectfully urged.

Respectfully submitted,

ROGER MARCEL HUMBEL

Mary J. Breiner, Attorney

Registration No. 33,161 BREINER & BREINER, L.L.C.

P.O. Box 320160

Alexandria, Virginia 22320-0160

Telephone (703) 684-6885

Attachments - PTO Form SB-08A

- Replacement Drawings (3 Sheets/5 Figures)

- Substitute Specification - Clean Version

- Substitute Specification - Marked-Up Version